

2016 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 06:55:19 AM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Education		2016	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board		714	
4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	03/10/2008		
8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date	
Yes	P.L. 113-128, section 511(a)	10/01/2015	
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Terminate	Yes	Enacted	
11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)			
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
20 U.S.C. 1213c (f); Public Law 102-73 July 25, 1991	07/25/1991	Continuing	No
15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board			
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear		
17a. Open Meetings and Dates	17b. Closed Meetings and Dates	17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates	17d. Total Meetings and Dates
No Meetings			

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00

18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board (Board) accomplishes its purpose by providing advice on Institute activities through quarterly in-person meetings, committee meetings, and regular telephone and email contact with the Institute's Director and Interagency Governing Group. The Board stays abreast of Institute activities and broader developments regarding literacy through briefings from the Institute's Director.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The 10 Advisory Board members provided a wide variety of perspectives on literacy. The Board includes representatives of the research community, higher education, foundations, early childhood education, and the K-12 system. The diversity of Board members' backgrounds helps ensure that the Institute is fully aware of issues associated with literacy across the lifespan and can benefit from knowledge resident throughout the field of education.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Advisory Board met quarterly.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

While it would be possible to get advice on the Institute's operations from other sources, a standing advisory committee benefits the Institute in a number of ways. First, it provides a dependable source of advice that would be hard to replicate from an ad hoc group of individuals. Many of the Institute's projects are complex, multi-year efforts. Having sustained advice from a knowledgeable group is critical to the success of these projects. The diverse backgrounds of the Institute's Board also ensures that the Institute does not leave out a segment of the literacy community in its plans and strengthens its ties to all groups that can benefit from its work. And lastly, the Institute benefits from having a standing Advisory Board because members are more likely to dedicate their time to Institute matters than individuals without such an affiliation.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Not Applicable

21. Remarks

The National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board was authorized by former section 242(e)

of the Adult Education and Family Literacy Act (AEFLA), which was Title II of P.L. 105-220, the Workforce Improvement Act of 1998 (WIA) . The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act repealed and replaced WIA, including the AEFLA; see P.L. 113-128, section 511(a), July 22, 2014 . The new version of the AEFLA does not include NIFL.

Designated Federal Officer

Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable

Narrative Description

The Advisory Board supported the agency's mission and strategic plan by making recommendations and offering guidance that helped the Institute align its activities with priorities established by No Child Left Behind and the Workforce Investment Act. In addition, the Advisory Board helps the Institute staff develop initiatives that will strengthen the use of evidence-based literacy efforts.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |

\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
Over \$10,000,000
Cost Savings Other

☐
☐
☐
☐

Cost Savings Comments

NA

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

24

Number of Recommendations Comments

This Board has terminated.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

88%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NA

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

8%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

Not Applicable

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A